1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.			
2	Attorney General of California CHRISTINA BULL ARNDT			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General WYATT E. SLOAN-TRIBE			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 260319			
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013			
6	Telephone: (213) 620-6521 Fax: (213) 897-2801			
7	E-mail: Wyatt.Sloan-Tribe@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent			
8	California Coastal Commission			
9	JOHN A. HENNING			
10	Attorney at Law State Bar No. 159138			
11	125 N. Sweetzer Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90048			
12	Ph. (323) 655-6171 Fax (323) 655-6109			
13	jhenning@planninglawgroup.com Attorney for Petitioner			
14	Venice Stakeholders Association			
15	CARMEN A. TRUTANICH City Attorney of Los Angeles			
16	VALERIE FLORES Managing Assistant City Attorney			
17				
18	200 North Main Street 8th Floor			
19	Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone (213) 978-8149			
20	Fax (213) 978-8222 E-mail: Valerie.Flores@lacity.org			
21	Attorneys for Petitioner City of Los Angeles			
22				
23	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
24	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
25	CENTRAL DISTRICT			
26				
27				
28				
	1			
	Stipulation to Entry of Remand Order; [Proposed] Order Remanding Case (BS122073)			

		_		
1	VENICE STAKEHOLDERS	Case No. BS122073		
2	ASSOCIATION, an unincorporated association, and CITY OF LOS ANGELES,	STIPULATION TO ENTRY OF		
3 4	CALIFORNIA, a governmental entity,	REMAND ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER REMANDING CASE		
5	Petitioners,	Date: N/A		
	v.	Time: N/A Dept: 85		
6 7	CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION,	Judge: The Honorable James C. Chalfant		
8	a governmental entity,	Trial Date: None set. Action Filed: August 10, 2009		
9	Respondent,			
10				
11				
12	-			
13	The parties, through their attorneys of record, enter into the following stipulation to entry of			
14	a remand order.			
15	BRIEF STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REMAND			
16	Venice Stakeholders Association (VSA) sued the California Coastal Commission over its			
17	denial of the City of Los Angeles' application for Coastal Development Permits (CDPs) to			
18	implement Overnight Parking Districts (OPDs) in the Venice area of the city, seeking a writ of			
19	mandamus and declaratory relief. Shortly after VSA initiated this action, the City cross-claimed			
20	against the Commission, seeking declaratory relief.			
21	The Commission, City and VSA subsequently began settlement discussions, and arrived at			
22	an agreement that the City may submit modified CDP applications to the Commission with			
23	specific terms such that, if approved by the Commission, would lead to the dismissal of the			
24	litigation. To facilitate this process the parties agreed to stipulate to the entry of an order by the			
25	Court staying the litigation and remanding this matter to the Commission to allow it to set aside			
26	its prior denial of the CDPs and conduct a new public hearing on the modified CDP applications.			
27	Under the stipulation, depending upon the outcome of this hearing, VSA and the City would			
28				

either dismiss their respective actions or pursue them, including, if necessary, by way of supplemental petition(s) and/or complaint(s).

In so stipulating, the Commission does not concede that there was any defect in the Commission's process; nor does it concede to any of the City's or VSA's allegations on the merits.

STIPULATION

The City, VSA and Commission stipulate to request that the Court order as follows:

- 1. The CDPs that are the subject of the within litigation are remanded to the Commission.
- 2. The City may file modified CDP applications with the Commission on or before June 7, 2010.
- 3. In the event that the City files a modified CDP application on or before June 7, 2010, then the Commission, not later than its monthly meeting on July 7 through 9, 2010, will set aside its prior denial of the application for the CDPs, and will conduct a public hearing on the City's modified CDP applications.
- 4. The Commission reserves full discretion as allowed by law to take any and all actions on the modified CDP applications.
- 5. The City and VSA reserve the right to fully participate in the Commission's process to the extent authorized by law.
- 6. In the event the City files modified CDP applications on or before June 7, 2010, then following the Commission's final action on the modified CDP applications, or after July 9, 2010, whichever comes first, the parties, in their sole discretion, may either stipulate to a dismissal of the within actions or the City and/or VSA may pursue the actions, including, if necessary, by way of supplemental petition(s) or complaint(s).
- 7. The Commission shall file a return to the remand order within thirty (30) days of taking final action on the City's modified CDP application to advise the Court what has occurred.
- 8. The within actions shall be stayed until June 7, 2010, and in the event the City has filed a modified CDP application on or before that date, the stay shall remain in effect until the

1	Commission's final action on the modified CDP application, or until July 12, 2010, whichever		
2	comes first.		
3	9. The Court shall retain jurisdiction during the stay to enforce the provisions of this		
4	Stipulation.		
5	10. In agreeing to this stipulation, none of the parties concedes that the arguments or		
6	positions of the other parties are valid or meritorious.		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
8		Respectfully Submitted,	
9	Dated: May, 2010	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California	
10		CHRISTINA BULL ARNDT Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
11			
12			
13		WYATT E. SLOAN-TRIBE	
14		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Respondent	
15 16	2010	California Coastal Commission	
17	Dated: May, 2010		
18		Iony A. Henning	
19		JOHN A. HENNING Attorney at Law Attorney for Patitionar	
20		Attorney for Petitioner Venice Stakeholders Alliance	
21	Dated: May, 2010	CARMEN A. TRUTANICH City Attorney of Los Angeles	
22			
23			
24		Valerie Flores	
25		Los Angeles City Attorney's Office Attorneys for Petitioner City of Los Angeles	
26			
27			
28			
	4		

[PROPOSED] ORDER REMANDING CASES

2 3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

The Court, having considered the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, orders as follows:

- 1. Coastal Development Permit (CDP) numbers 08-07, 08-08, 08-09, 08-10 and 08-11 (concerning overnight parking restrictions in the Venice area of Los Angeles), which are the subject of the within litigation, are hereby remanded to the Commission.
- 2. The City may file modified CDP applications with the Commission on or before June 7, 2010.
- 3. In the event that the City files modified CDP applications on or before June 7, 2010, then the Commission, not later than its monthly meeting on July 7 through 9, 2010, will set aside its prior denial of the application for the CDPs, and will conduct a public hearing on the City's modified CDP application.
- 4. The Commission reserves full discretion as allowed by law to take any and all actions on the modified CDP application.
- 5. The City and VSA reserve the right to fully participate in the Commission's process to the extent authorized by law.
- 6. In the event the City files modified CDP applications on or before June 7, 2010, then following the Commission's final action on the modified CDP application, or after July 9, 2010, whichever comes first, the parties, in their sole discretion, may either stipulate to a dismissal of the within actions or the City and/or VSA may pursue the actions, including, if necessary, by way of supplemental petition(s) or complaint(s).
- 7. The Commission shall file a return to the remand order within thirty (30) days of taking final action on the City's modified CDP applications to advise the Court what has occurred.
- 8. The within actions shall be stayed until June 7, 2010, and in the event the City has filed modified CDP applications on or before that date, the stay shall remain in effect until the Commission's final action on the modified CDP applications, or until July 12, 2010, whichever comes first.

1	9. The Court shall retain jurisdiction during the stay to enforce the provisions of this		
2	Stipulation.		
3			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5			
6	Dated:		
7 8	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	THE HONORABLE JAMES C. CHALFANT	
9			
10			
1			
2			
3			
4			
15			
16			
7			
8			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Stipulation to Entry of Remand Order; [Proposed] Order Remanding Case (BS122073)		