

The following motions are ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED for addition to the September VNC Board Agenda. The placement on the agenda (order, consent, etc.) will be determined by the Chair and not during AdCom.

MOTIONS
TO BE DISCUSSED AND ACTED UPON
AT THE 09/10/26 VNC ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Please note that this is not an exhaustive list, and that This Agenda Meeting is advisory in nature. Any decisions made at this meeting are not considered to be Governing Board actions and shall go forward as recommendations to the Governing Board for a final vote.

POSSIBLE MOTIONS:

STANDARD NON-MOTION ITEMS

(Voted on as a group)

APPROVAL OF PRIOR MEETING MINUTES (August 2026)

**DECLARATION OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
AND/OR RECUSALS (POST-FILING ONLY)**

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

BOARD MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

PUBLIC COMMENT

COMMITTEE ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

BUDGET AND FINANCE (to be voted on as a group)

Motion XX-26-VNC

1. The VNC Board approves the August 2026 Monthly Expenditure Report (MER). (see supporting document)

Motion XX-26-VNC

2. The VNC approves an NPG in the amount of \$3,914.76 to plant a total of 29 trees on multiple streets in Venice including on Oakwood Broadway: (at Broadway Elementary School), Westminster Avenue (near Oakwood Park), Indiana Ave, and in East Venice: Indiana Court, Courtland Ave and Glyndon and any necessary budget adjustment. (see supporting document).

Motion XX-26-VNC

3. The VNC approves the following allocations of the 2025-2026 Carryover of \$4,218.19.
_____to NPG, _____to Election Promotion, _____ to Printing, _____ to_____.
(see supporting document)

Motion XX-26-VNC

4, The VNC Board approves the purchase of a laser printer, paper and cartridge to be use by the VNC secretary for printing Board agendas and supporting documents, the cost not to exceed \$350. The printer is subject to approval by the NC funding Department of an equipment agreement. (see supporting document)

PTIC

Vote Count:3-1-1-1

Motion XX-26-VNC

TITLE: Traffic Conflicts Where Tabor Court Exits onto Venice Boulevard - Stakeholder Request

PURPOSE:

This item was initiated as a Stakeholder Request by Jim Murez. He advocated that, to solve the conflicts of Tabor Court's exiting traffic with Venice Boulevard's traffic at the Abbot Kinney Boulevard intersection, the direction of flow be reversed.

BACKGROUND:

Background: Tabor Court is the alley one-half block east of Abbot Kinney Boulevard. It is one-way southbound. It serves 55 metered parking spaces and the alternative exits of two businesses, one being Erewhon. The problem the Stakeholder Request wants to fix is the conflict between exiting vehicles from Tabor Court and westbound Venice Boulevard vehicles that stop at the red light at Abbot Kinney Boulevard. It is not only difficult to exit, but too often the exiting vehicles attempt to cross three lanes of Venice Boulevard to enter its fourth, left turn lane. See Support Documents for the staff report.

The Stakeholder Request proposes that Tabor Court's traffic flow be reversed from southbound to northbound. All Tabor Court traffic would then exit onto Palms Boulevard. Most existing vehicles would likely turn left to enter Abbot Kinney Boulevard to either continue south or turn onto Venice Boulevard. This change would solve the problem the Request aims to correct.

Richard Stanger and David Wolf researched the situation and their analysis shows that reversing Tabor Court's direction would shift the merge problem to the Palms and Abbot Kinney intersection. They believe that the shift would increase the risk to both vehicles and pedestrians. The analysis proposes an alternative approach at the Venice Boulevard Tabor Court exit. The four Venice Boulevard lanes would have "Keep Clear" pavement markings installed to allow space for Tabor Court vehicles to have better egress onto the Boulevard. This is not an ideal solution – there really isn't one in this situation – but staff feels it is a better solution than the one proposed.

THE MOTION:

The Parking, Transportation, and Infrastructure Committee asks the VNC to request that LADOT consider the resolution of the traffic merging problem at Tabor Court and Venice Boulevard by the addition on Venice Boulevard of "Keep Clear" pavement markings

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS ATTACHED:

Analysis of Stakeholder Request to Reverse Direction of Tabor Court

Vote Count:4-1-1-1

Motion XX-26-VNC

TITLE: Request to Support Ticketing of Nuisance-Causing Oversized Vehicles Throughout Venice

PURPOSE:

This item was initiated as a request to ticket recreational vehicles (RV) and oversized (OV) vehicles on a section of Washington Boulevard for violating LAMC secs. 91.9002 and 11(l). However, the Committee decided that such a motion was covered under the VNC's June 2025 "Motion for Prompt and Effective City Action on Unhoused Persons, RVs and OVs on Washington Between Abbot Kinney and Beach". It therefore adopted an amended motion covering all of Venice.

BACKGROUND:

In June 2025 the VNC passed the following Motion:

MOTION: The City should forthwith aggressively ticket and tow all vehicles parked on both sides of Washington Blvd. between Abbot Kinney and Beach which are continuously parked over 72 hours in violation of posted signs or do not bear current license tags or which are unsafe. Upon passage and finalization of this Motion, the Secretary of the Venice Neighborhood Council shall forthwith forward it to Councilperson [Traci Park].

There has been no effective City action since. In July, the PTIC approved a motion focusing on the Kinney Hotel block of Washington Boulevard and referencing three OVs. The motion asked that applicable City nuisance codes be enforced. This initial motion was deemed too specific. The item was sent back to the PTIC for further consideration. A new, generalized motion focusing on the 700 block of Washington Boulevard and on OVs in general was added to the Committee's August 26th agenda.

In reviewing past VNC actions, the Committee felt that the generalized motion was already covered by the VNC's June 2025 motion above. It therefore amended the agendized motion to cover all of Venice using the City's applicable nuisance codes.

THE MOTION:

The Parking, Transportation, and Infrastructure Committee requests that the VNC supports efforts by businesses and others to enforce ticketing of Oversized Vehicles throughout Venice: 1. urging the LADOT and the LAPD to ticket the OVs for violating LAMC secs. 91.9002 and 11(l), and 2. urging the LADOT and the LAPD to take such other steps as necessary to abate the nuisances the OVs inflict on businesses and residences.

Vote Count:4-1-1-1

Motion XX-26-VNC

TITLE: Support for Councilwoman Traci Park's Proposed City Council Motion on Improving the Marvin Braude Bike Trail Through Venice Beach

PURPOSE:

On August 18th Councilwoman Park submitted a proposed motion asking City Council to assess the labor, costs and resources needed to improve the Marvin Bruade Bike Path through Venice.

BACKGROUND:

The Marvin Braude Bike Path has needed to be widened and improved almost from the day it was inaugurated prior to the 1984 Olympics. Councilwoman Park is asking City Council to have appropriate City agencies assess what it would take to finally make that happen prior to the 2028 Olympics. Councilwoman Park's sees the Santa Monica portion, with its parallel pedestrian walkway, as a model for the Venice portion.

The PTIC suggest that the City's assessment include the improvement of the bikeway on Washington Boulevard from the Ocean Front Walk to Oxford Avenue.

THE MOTION:

The Parking, Transportation, and Infrastructure Committee asks the Venice Neighborhood Council to support Councilwoman Traci Park's proposed motion to have City Council instruct the Department of Transportation, with the assistance from the Bureau of Engineering, Recreation and Parks Department and Los Angeles County Public Works, to report back in 30 days with an assessment of the cost, funding opportunities and resources needed to widen the Marvin Braude Bike Trail through Venice Beach in order to accommodate a pedestrian path in time for the 2028 Olympic and Paralympic Games. It further suggests that City agencies include in their assessment safety improvements to the Marvin Braude Bikeway between the beach and Oxford Avenue.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS ATTACHED:

Councilwoman Park's Proposed Motion

LUPC

(To be voted on as a group)

LUPC Board Agenda Submission 9.10.26 AdCom Meeting 9.15.26 Board Meeting

Motion to Waive VNC Recommendation, Without Prejudice

Motion XX-26-VNC

The Venice Neighborhood Council waives review of the following projects, without prejudice:

431 Howland Canal (between Dell Ave & Eastern Canal)

[DIR-2026-4327-CDP](#)

Project Description: 90 sf addition to existing 2,085 sf SFD.

238-249 Horizon Ave (between Main & Riviera)

[DIR-2026-2577-CDP-MEL-HCA](#)

Project Description: 3rd story addition to existing duplex & new 2-story attached ADU in rear w/open floor below, on ground floor.

LUPC Vote: 1-7-0 (1 absent)

Motion XX-26-VNC

The Venice Neighborhood Council recommends the City's approval of the project at 238-249 Horizon Ave, subject to clarification about the removal of the backyard tree and existing parking spot.

643 Indiana Ave (between 6th Ave & 7th Ave)

[DIR-2026-798-CDP-MEL-HCA](#), [ADM-2025-6395-CEX](#)

Project Description: convert (E) attached garage into a 955 sf Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit (JADU) and convert (E) detached covered patio into a 235 sf Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU).

LUPC Vote: 8-0-0 (1 absent)

Motion XX-26-VNC

The Venice Neighborhood Council recommends the City's approval of the project at 643 Indiana Ave, as proposed, conditioned on planting of native plants and trees in the front yard and in the parkway.

237 5th Ave (north of Rose)

[DIR-2026-2564-CDP](#)

Project Description: 1st floor remodel & addition to existing SFD w/2nd floor loft addition & attic storage space

LUPC Vote: 8-0-0 (1 absent)

Motion XX-26-VNC

The Venice Neighborhood Council recommends the City's approval of the project at 237 5th Ave, provided drought-tolerant native landscaping is planted for as much of the on-grade exposed lot as possible.

70 Venice Blvd (at Pacific Ave)

[DIR-2026-3952-ADJ](#)

Project Description: Request for relief to grant an existing over height 16'10" tall wall within a required side yard in the RD1.5 zone due to LADWP power lines.

LUPC Vote: 8-0-0 (1 absent)

Motion XX-26-VNC

The Venice Neighborhood Council recommends approval of the requested Zoning Administrator Adjustment pursuant to LAMC §12.28 to permit the existing increase in height and depth of the western exterior wall at 70½ Venice Blvd, as proposed, subject to all applicable City and LADWP requirements.

Stakeholder Sam Meyers

Title: 1905 Fest | Venice Music Festival

Vote Count:NA

Motion XX-26-VNC

Presentation: Presentation of 1905 Fest, a proposed two-day music, arts, culinary, and cultural festival at Windward Plaza and Venice Beach, targeted for Fall 2027. The presentation will introduce the event concept, proposed site plan, community benefits, operational approach, and vision for creating an event that reflects and celebrates the culture and history of Venice. The project is currently in the early planning and stakeholder engagement phase. The purpose of the presentation is to share the concept with Venice Neighborhood Council members, gather feedback, identify community priorities, and incorporate that input into the project before plans are finalized and formal approvals are granted. See info deck here: <https://canva.link/1905-fest-confidential-share>

Stakeholder Helen Fallon

Title: Reconsideration of Motion 126-26 VNC Stakeholder Petition

Vote Count:NA

Motion XX-26-VNC

Motion / Request / Description:

Description: Motion to reconsider Motion 126-26 VNC Stakeholder Petition to amending and creating new standing rules-submitted by over 80 stakeholders

Motion: Motion to Reconsider: The VNC Board will reconsider Motion 126-26 VNC. Over 80 Stakeholders requested that each standing rule motion they submitted via petition would be heard separately. Instead, the motions were dealt with by the president as a block with no opportunity for presentation, were not read into the record. The stakeholders' representative was not able to be present since he was not notified of the special 24 hour meeting and had a previous commitment and his written request to the board to hear the petition at the September in person Board meeting was ignored. Note: all necessary petition files are in the supporting documents of the 9/1/26 special meeting.