



Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



Minutes

Parking, Transportation, and Infrastructure Committee (PTIC) Meeting

Wednesday, May 27, 2026

6:30 pm to 7:45 pm (U.S. and Canada)

**Venice Library Community Room
501 Venice Boulevard, Venice, CA 90291**

CALL TO ORDER: 6:44

1. BOARD ROLL CALL AND DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:

Richard Stanger ✓	Selena Inouye	Andreas Kemkes ✓
Crystal Lopez	Colin Warn ✓	David Wolf ✓

No Conflicts of Interest were reported. There were 5 members of the public present.

Agenda of meeting was said not to have been posted within 72 hours. Given the fact that the meeting was about to start, Richard Stanger, Chair, decided to have the meeting anyway, but would not allow any voting.

2. **GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT** - Comments from the public on non-agenda items within the Board’s subject matter jurisdiction. Each speaker will be allowed one (1) minute.

3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES: May 6, 2026, Meeting – Approved 4-0-0-2**

4. **CHAIR COMMENTS:**

5. **OLD BUSINESS:**

A. Update on the RVs Parked on the Streets of Venice Town Hall

Selena Inouye

Ms. Inouye was not present, so this update was postponed.

B. Windward Avenue Pedestrian Enhancement Project – Discussion of Administrative Committee’s Decision to Send the Item Back to the PTIC

Prepared by: Richard Stanger and David Wolf

At the VNC’s Administrative Committee meeting May 14th, a motion was passed to send this item back to the PTIC “for further community outreach.” The AdCom motion violated the VNC By-Laws and Standing rules. It was also not based on any factual



Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



information justifying the action. For these reasons, the PTIC is being asked to return its initial motion to the VNC.

The VNC By-Law Section 3.A. states: **Committee Authority** - *All committee recommendations shall be brought to the full Board in the form a motion for discussion and action.* Furthermore, Section 5 of the VNC's Standing Rules specifically states: **The Administrative Committee does not address or consider the merits of proposed agenda items.** (bold font in By-Laws and Standing Rules.) The AdCom motion had no basis under VNC rules for the action it took.

It also had no factual basis. The PTIC study, survey, and outreach, and report were appropriately within its purview. The 515 responses from the survey are more than adequate to gauge public interest, which is overwhelmingly supportive. It was solely the subjective opinion of four AdCom members that not enough outreach had been done. Moreover, given the strong support for the proposal, nothing presented by the four members indicated that more public outreach would meaningfully change the outcome. As supporting documents are an expanded response and the report and slide presentation for the original motion.

Motion: The Parking, Transportation, and Infrastructure Committee finds that the Administrative Committee acted against the VNC rules and without basis in returning this item, and again asks the Venice Neighborhood Council to approve the conceptual reconfiguration of Windward Avenue between Speedway and Windward Circle into pedestrian plazas. VNC concurrence will initiate discussions with appropriate City agencies and the Coastal Commission toward the goal of implementing the concepts.

Public Comments:

Committee Discussion: **Richard Stanger said that given the violation of the VNC By-laws and Standing Rules, the Committee's motion in support of sending the item back to the Administrative Committee was not needed. A memo to AdCom by the Chair should do.**

6. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Stakeholder Concern with Ground-borne Vibrations:

Mr. Dalton ~~Crum~~ **Corr** has asked the VNC to help him seek resolution of a vibration problem he is experiencing from, he believes, a City pumping facility. Mr. Crum will present his concerns.

Mr. Corr was not at the meeting. The basics of the issue was summarized by Richard Stanger, and briefly discussed. This item may be on a future agenda should Mr. Corr request.



Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



B. Re-Imagining Washington Boulevard for Bicycle Safety

Prepared Corrin Warn and Richard Stanger

The responses from the Venice Bikeway Survey indicated that providing physically-separate bike lanes on Washington Boulevard, especially on the 3/4-mile section between Pacific Avenue and the Mildred/Oxford intersection, was the most-wanted bikeway safety improvement. For this reason, the PTIC team has evaluated possible design options and has prepared a report recommending what it believes is the best solution.

It so happened that a group of students in USC's Master's Program in Public Administration were also addressing this issue working with CD 11 staff. They prepared an excellent report; its size, however, precludes its being a supporting document. The two efforts are complementary. The USC student's report is oriented toward public policy-related issues, the PTIC effort to specific design-related issues.

The PTIC report reviews the USC excellent work product, then focuses on the merits of basic street reconfiguration options to achieve safer bike lanes. At this point we are not asking for committee or VNC concurrence, although the committee may wish to support the findings. The report will be shared with CD 11 staff. The report is a supporting document as is a slide presentation.

Richard Stanger and Colin Warn summarized the issue with a PowerPoint presentation.

Public Comments: **The few comments seemed generally positive.**

Committee Discussion: **The presentation was well received. The Committee was told that the concept and recommendation would be shared with CD 11 staff at least for feedback before the Committee or the VNC might be asked for formal concurrence.**

ADJOURNMENT: 7:30

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting by contacting the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment by calling (213) 978-1551 or email: NCsupport@lacity.org

Public Posting of Agendas -

The Venice Neighborhood Council agendas are posted for public review as follows:

- Beyond Baroque, 681 Venice Blvd., Venice, CA 90291
- www.VeniceNC.org
- Receive agendas by email, subscribe to L.A. City's [Early Notification System \(ENS\)](#)



Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



Notice to Paid Representatives -

If you are compensated to monitor, attend, or speak at this meeting, City law may require you to register as a lobbyist and report your activity. See Los Angeles Municipal Code Section 48.01 et seq. More information is available at ethics.lacity.org/lobbying. For assistance, please contact the Ethics Commission at (213) 978-1960 or ethics.commission@lacity.org

Public Access of Records -

In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at our website:

www.VeniceNC.org or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact the VNC Secretary, email at:

Secretary@VeniceNC.org.

Reconsideration and Grievance Process -

For information on the NC's process for board action reconsideration, stakeholder grievance policy, or any other procedural matters related to this Council, please consult the NC Bylaws. The Bylaws are available at our website www.VeniceNC.org