

**MOTIONS**  
**TO BE DISCUSSED AND ACTED UPON**  
**AT THE VNC ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE MEETING**

*Please note that this is not an exhaustive list, and that This Agenda Meeting is advisory in nature. Any decisions made at this meeting are not considered to be Governing Board actions and shall go forward as recommendations to the Governing Board for a final vote.*

**POSSIBLE MOTIONS:**

**STANDARD NON-MOTION ITEMS**

**(Voted on as a group)**

**MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR JATAUN VALENTINE (3 minutes)**

**APPROVAL OF PRIOR MEETING MINUTES (February 17, 2026 and February 24, 2026)**

**DECLARATION OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND/OR RECUSALS (POST-FILING ONLY)**

**PRESIDENT'S REPORT**

**BOARD MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS**

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

**To Be Considered:**

**FILLING LUPC COMMITTEE POSITION**

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

**05-26-VNC VNC Support for the Great Park Coalition (Arbor Committee)**

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council supports the creation of a great park on the soon-to-be-closed Santa Monica Airport and will add their endorsement to the Great Park Coalition’s list of partners. A letter of support will be sent to the city council district 11 office, the Rec and Park staff, and Streets LA.

**PURPOSE:** A recent meeting of the East Venice Neighborhood Association has brought forward the increased pressure to derail the planned airport closure with various efforts that directly impact Venice stakeholders. Please consider this effort to support the work of the Great Park Coalition to close the airport and use it as a future park. Whereas Santa Monica voters overwhelmingly passed Measure LC in 2014, a charter amendment requiring the 192-acre Santa Monica airport property be used only for parks or recreational space once closed, Whereas the planned conversion of the Santa Monica Airport to a park will greatly increase open space access for Venice residents, especially the residents of East Venice, Whereas the Great Park Coalition has represented the efforts of the Santa Monica public to convert the park into a “Great Park”; Whereas Venice residents support the increase of open space, urban canopy, and biodiversity inside the urban environment and collaborative work can link the Santa Monica airport property, or the “Great Park”, to Venice in a way that supports these goals, Venice ought to engage and participate in the design and future of this space; Whereas the pollution, noise, and use of public space of Santa Monica Airport does not benefit and specifically harms the public at large and many Venice residents.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

**\_\_-26-VEN: Establishment of an Ad Hoc Youth Committee (GARY PEARL)**

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council shall create a temporary, mission-focused Ad Hoc Committee focused on providing a structured and compliant forum for youth engagement while allowing the Board to evaluate outcomes prior to any permanent structural change. The committee shall:

- Provide a public forum for youth and young adult stakeholders to engage with the VNC in a structured and constructive manner;
- Explore opportunities to increase youth participation in Venice civic life, community events, cultural programming, and public spaces;
- Identify ways to connect younger stakeholders with Venice cultural identity, recreational spaces, and community traditions;
- Develop non-binding recommendations to the VNC Board regarding youth civic engagement strategies;
- Prepare a written summary report prior to sunset outlining participation outcomes and recommended next steps.

The Committee shall not exercise policy-making authority, budget authority, or oversight authority over any City department, school district, or external entity. The Committee shall not duplicate the functions of the Arts Committee, Outreach Committee, or any standing committee, but may coordinate with them where appropriate.

This is an Ad Hoc Committee and shall automatically sunset on January 31, 2027, unless dissolved earlier by the Board. Our hope is that the VNC will recognize the importance of our next generation and commit to making Youth a standing committee.

**PURPOSE:** The purpose of the Ad Hoc Youth Civic & Cultural Engagement Committee is to increase civic awareness, cultural participation, and intergenerational engagement among youth and young adults in Venice through structured dialogue, public forums, and community participation opportunities aligned with upcoming citywide and global events.

**BACKGROUND:** Venice is entering a period of increased international visibility through the 2026 World Cup and the 2028 Olympic Games. Ensuring youth and young adult civic engagement strengthens long-term community continuity and fosters inclusive cultural participation across generations. Creating a temporary, mission-focused Ad Hoc Committee provides a structured and compliant forum for youth engagement while allowing the Board to evaluate outcomes prior to any permanent structural change.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

**\_\_-26-VNC: Automated Speed Enforcement Street Segments in Venice (PITC)**

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council supports the installation of speed cameras on Venice Blvd Eastbound and Westbound between Pisani Pl and Lincoln Blvd and on Washington Blvd Eastbound between Marr St and Thatcher Ave as part of the City’s Speed Safety Systems Program pilot.

**PURPOSE:** The Committee feels that it is important for the VNC to support the introduction of automated speed enforcement on the two Venice street segments LADOT analysis have shown to be most in need of speed restriction.

**BACKGROUND:** The Los Angeles Department of Transportation has released a report on the City’s Automated Speed Enforcement (ASE) Program, authorized under AB 645. As part of this report, two street segments in Venice have been identified for potential installation of speed safety cameras.

- Washington Blvd (Marr St to Thatcher Ave) – eastbound direction only
- Venice Blvd (Pisani Place to Lincoln Blvd) – both directions

The Federal Highway Administration has documented that speed cameras are one of the most effective tools for reducing the number of crashes along a given road: anywhere from 20-50% per the latest research. Given this, LADOT under AB 645 used Los Angeles City crash history, roadway characteristics, and school proximity to select these two particular camera locations in Venice. A fact sheet leading to a number of reference documents is in Supporting Documents and the LADOT link to the program is: <https://ladot.lacity.gov/speed-safety-system> .

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

**\_\_-26-VEN: Boardwalk Cultural Preservation Plan (OFW-Fran Solomon Chair)**

**MOTION** The Venice Neighborhood Council hereby recommends that:

The Department of Cultural Affairs (DCA), in coordination with RAP, LAPD, CD11, and relevant enforcement agencies, develop an OFW/Boardwalk cultural preservation plan which shall include:

- a. Recognition of street performers and independent artists as cultural assets,
- b. A transparent and equitable permitting and use framework consistent with CF 11-0923 (regarding fine art murals).
- c. Clear enforcement guidelines designed to avoid unnecessary confiscation or destruction of artistic property and
- d. Community input from Venice stakeholders and cultural practitioners

The mayor's office, in coordination with the chief legislative analyst (CLA) and city administrative officer (CAO) identified funding opportunities – including capital funds, grants or event related allocations to support restorations and Boardwalk cultural infrastructure.

The City to meaningfully engage Venice residents, the Venice Neighborhood Council, local businesses and cultural advocates in the planning and implementation of OFW/Boardwalk improvements to ensure alignment with Venice's historic and cultural identity.

**PURPOSE:** To establish a comprehensive framework to preserve, restore and protect the historic, cultural, and artistic character of Venice Beach's Ocean Front Walk/Boardwalk

**BACKGROUND:** Ocean Front Walk is the historic base for why and how Venice has become and remains the cultural and creative center of Los Angeles; the most visited tourist destination in Los Angeles City and second most visited in Southern California. It is a vibrant and dynamic touchstone to creativity, accessibility and much more including the home of the world renowned Muscle Beach for all Angelenos and visitors for greater than a century.

This Ocean Front Walk plan addresses its restoration and long term protection and historic infrastructure, the recognition and support of street performance and independent artistic expression, community engagement and context sensitive public space improvements, and proactive preparation for increased global visitation associated with upcoming international events. The plan seeks to balance public safety accessibility cleanliness and enforcement with the preservation of Venice's unique cultural identity and historic legacy.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



## **\_\_-26-VEN Opposition to Assembly Bill 1740 (Robin Rudisil)**

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council requests both CD-11 and the City oppose AB 1740 in the City’s 2026-2027 Legislative Program, unless amended to provide that only establishments that do not serve alcohol or are in possession of a valid type 47 ABC license may utilize this program.

**PURPOSE:** AB1740, which would eliminate the need to seek al fresco dining permits in the coastal zone, is seeking support from CD11. Given the City’s complete lack of al fresco ordinance enforcement, allowing essentially permit-less outdoor expansion –especially by places that are essentially bars rather than restaurants, will diminish parking, increase noise and public disturbances and impose on those living nearby. While we support outdoor dining, there need to be limits on what kind of establishment can have the permitting process waived.

**BACKGROUND:** All around Venice, Al Fresco dining has taken over parking lots, sidewalks and streets. This has had a dramatic impact on our community and the quality of life of many of our neighbors and residents.

While Al Fresco dining is beloved and most operators are respectful of their neighbors and the rules, there are a number of establishments around Venice which make their neighbors pay for their profit in terms of noise, public disorder, increased crime and public urination. Most of these establishments are actually bars not restaurants and have type 42 or type 48 liquor licenses rather than the type 47 which is typical of an actual restaurant. By waiving permitting requirements, AB1740 would end the ability of the neighborhood and the city to seek to impose even reasonable conditions. Ensuring that the bad actors are not given a free ride is of paramount concern to our community.

### **AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



**\_\_-26-VEN LUPC Waivers--Motions for which VNC Recommendation is Waived, Without Prejudice (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)**

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council waives review of the following projects, without prejudice:

- a. **28 26<sup>th</sup> Ave:** Rear yard, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor 159 SF addition & interior remodel  
<https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/search/casenumbr/DIR-2025-6968-SPPC>
- b. **202 Rennie Ave:** ADU garage conversion  
<https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/search/casenumbr/DIR-2026-168-MEL>
- c. **672-672 1/2 Indiana Ave:** Stand-alone Mello Act Review for conversion of an existing accessory living quarters to an ADU by adding a full kitchen  
<https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/search/casenumbr/DIR-2026-102-MEL-HCA>
- d. **657 Rose Ave:** Mello Act Review of conversion of garage to ADU  
<https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/search/casenumbr/DIR-2026-839-MEL>

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



**\_\_-26-VEN Certified Neighborhood Council (CNC) Reports (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)**

**MOTION:** Whereas, there is currently no process for Neighborhood Councils to be informed of non-discretionary projects that have significant “de facto variances” from existing objective development standards or applications for appealable City Coastal Exemptions (CEX).

The Venice Neighborhood Council requests that:

1. despite the fact that non-discretionary projects are considered ministerial, they be required to be included on the CNC reports when they are Density Bonus projects or other affordable housing-related projects being processed under City regulations that allow “de facto variances” from the basic zoning requirements for height, FAR and density, and
2. projects being processed with City Coastal Exemptions (CEX) should be included on the CNC reports as they are appealable and therefore must be included along with the projects requiring Coastal Development Permits as appealability necessarily requires transparency.

### **AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



-26-VEN 1209 Preston Way (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)

**MOTION:** Resolved, the Venice Neighborhood Council (VNC) requests written explanations from the Bureau of Engineering (BOE) and City Planning for certain issues and questions on the Starter Home Revitalization Act (SHRA) Letter of Compliance (LOC), and requests that such disclosures are included in all SHRA LOC or similar LOC in future:

**1. Bureau of Engineering (BOE) – Conditions 4 and 5 (LOC page 2)**

Condition 4 requires a 2-foot dedication along Preston Way under “Limited Local Street standards” and Condition 5 requires a 2.5-foot wide strip of land be dedicated to complete a 10-foot wide half alley dedication. VNC requests that BOE provide a written explanation regarding the following statements in the Letter of Compliance:

- a. Confirm the official Mobility Plan classification for Preston Way.
- b. Explain the basis for applying “Limited Local Street” standards rather than “Standard Local Street” standards.
- c. Clarify why greater dedications were not required.
- d. Explain how SB 684 / SHRA affects the City’s ability to require street dedications.

**2. City Planning – Conditions 21.m. and 21.n. (LOC pages 9-10, 21)**

Conditions 21.m and 21.n. reference deviations necessary to accommodate “other conditions of approval as required by other City agencies”. VNC requests that City Planning provide a written explanation regarding:

- a. Which specific agency conditions required deviation?
- b. Which agencies imposed them?
- c. Why did those conditions necessitate the approved deviations?

Condition 21.n permits deviation from R1V2 front yard and encroachment plane requirements. The Objective Development Standards section (LOC page 21) discusses the same issue. VNC requests that City Planning provide a written explanation regarding:

- a. What is the statutory authority supporting these deviations under SHRA?
- b. What is the analytical basis for determining that application of the front yard setback requirement and the encroachment plane requirement would “physically preclude the development of the proposed density of four single-family residences on four lots and as such these provisions are removed”?

**3. City Planning--Eligibility Criteria & Objective Standards (LOC pages 20-21)**

Box (a)(11), LOC page 20, and Box (d), LOC page 21, indicate compliance with objective zoning standards. The VNC requests that City Planning provide a written explanation regarding:

- a. Why are Box (a)(11) on LOC page 20 and Box (d) on LOC page 21 answered “Complies” when deviations were allowed (that is not compliance)?
- b. Which objective standards were evaluated?
- c. Which, if any, were determined to be inconsistent with the SHRA?
- d. How was “physical preclusion” evaluated in the Objective Development Standards section?

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



\_\_-26-VEN 2499 Lincoln Blvd (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)

### *Motion*

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council recommends *denial* of the proposed Bellagio Carwash because it:

1. harms the City and Venice’s ability to implement the developments proposed in the draft update of the Venice Community Plan and the Venice Land use Plan, especially that the Lincoln corridor is designated to absorb very much needed housing projects, and
2. introduces substantial negative impacts to its surrounding area, and it will increase traffic and problematic turning movements.

### **AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



-26-VEN 1166 Garfield Ave, 1165 Van Buren Ave, 1161 Van Buren Ave (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council recommends approval of the three single-family dwellings at 1166 Garfield, 1165 Van Buren Ave, and 1161 Van Buren Ave, as proposed, conditioned on:

1. The replacement of non-native plants & trees in the landscaping with native trees and plants that provide shade and native habitat.
2. Using different colors and materials between the three homes and make street-facing façade changes, to vary the architectural design.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

-26-VEN 20 17<sup>th</sup> Ave (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)<sup>11</sup>

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighbor Council recommends approval of the project at 20 17<sup>th</sup> Ave, as proposed.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

-26-VEN 2429 Eastern Canal (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)

*Motion*

The Venice Neighbor Council recommends approval of the project at 2429 Eastern Court, as proposed.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

-26-VEN 27 Ketch (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)<sup>11</sup>

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council recommends *denial* of the project at 27 Ketch St #4, and requests code enforcement for the unpermitted portion of the roof structure.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294  
www.VeniceNC.org



26-VEN ADU Acknowledgement for Applicants (LUPC Committee Vote: 7-0-0)

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council requests City Planning to require completion of the ADU Acknowledgement form when applying for an ADU.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_

26-VEN SB 79—CIS for Council File 25-1083 (Committee Vote: 7-0-0)

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council supports Citywide SB 79 Implementation Option C1, which is the more equitable path forward for all L.A. communities. Option C1 would delay implementation of SB 79 in low-resource areas, high fire severity zones, HPOZs (Historic Preservation Overlay Zones), and low sea level areas until 2030. Option C1 also proactively “incentivizes” all qualifying single-family and low-density neighborhoods to allow 3-story apartment buildings with 4-16 units, rather than the 7-story apartment buildings mandated under SB 79 and includes these incentives for neighborhoods near any rail stops that are not exempted.

Our support for SB 79 Implementation Option C1 is conditioned on the following:

1. The proposed density changes must be clearly defined as an “incentive” program, not a permanent rezoning or upzoning of these neighborhoods, allowing the incentives to be withdrawn if SB 79 is amended or repealed.
2. All existing setback requirements of the underlying zoning must be maintained.
3. All affected property owners and residents must receive mailed notification of the proposed changes to ensure robust outreach beyond online notifications.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



## II. TREASURER’S REPORT

Discussion and Possible Action on motions \_\_-26-VNC through \_\_-26-VNC

### MOTION \_\_-26-VEN

- A. The VNC Board approves the February 2026 Monthly Expenditure Report (MER) (see supporting documents)

### MOTION \_\_-26-VEN

- B. The Venice Board approves an NPG for \$600 to Reducing Animal Stress (see supporting document)

### MOTION \_\_-26-VEN

- C. NPG for \$5,000 to the Venice Heritage Museum

### AD COM ACTION:

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



**-26-VNC L.A. COASTAL AREA SPECIAL RESOURCE STUDY BY NATIONAL PARK SERVICE**  
(NPS) Committee vote: 7-0-0

**MOTION:** Resolved, the VNC requests that the comment period be extended for at least 3 months from April 6, 2026 to no earlier than July 6, 2026.

Further Resolved, the VNC requests that the NPS provide a public presentation to the Venice community addressing the Venice area *prior* to any final study recommendations being submitted to Congress.

Further Resolved, the VNC requests the City Council to support continued study and community engagement in this process, conditioned upon the following:

1. Written confirmation that designation would not diminish or preempt authority under the California Coastal Act or Local Coastal Programs.
2. Written confirmation that the Venice Boardwalk and other businesses and properties that contribute to a significant ecotourism and beachside business community will not be restricted, rezoned, or recharacterized in a manner that undermines Venice coastal tourism.
3. Clarification that any proposed model would prioritize cooperative management rather than centralized federal land-use control with the possible exception of the Ballona Wetlands.
4. Written disclosure regarding whether property acquisition or eminent domain authority would be contemplated.
5. Clear identification of anticipated federal funding commitments and long-term fiscal responsibilities.
6. Assurance that existing municipal zoning authority remains intact.
7. A detailed explanation of how extractive uses such as oil drilling would be treated within any National Park unit boundary. (Coastal residents and elected officials in this area are vehemently opposed to oil drilling off our coast due to historical damage from these extractive uses.)

**PURPOSE:** The Venice Neighborhood Council (VNC) acknowledges the initiation of the Los Angeles Coastal Area Special Resource Study by the National Park Service (NPS), evaluating whether coastal areas from Will Rogers State Beach to San Pedro meet the criteria for inclusion in the National Park System, potentially as a National Recreation Area or other unit of the National Park Service.

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



**26-VNC MOTION TO AMEND THE VNC BYLAWS AND MODERNIZE ITS ELECTIONS** Rules and Elections Committee, Ted Henderson (VOTE ??)

**MOTION:** The VNC hereby moves to adopt the amendments to the Bylaws as proposed by the Rules & Elections committee, and to submit them for approval to the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment. The proposed changes are detailed in the supporting document VNC-Bylaws-Proposed-Changes-3.17.2026.pdf and are represented in full in VNC-Bylaws-Proposed-Final-3.17.2026.pdf.

**PURPOSE:** The purpose of this motion to amend the Bylaws is to strengthen the democratic representation of Venice in the City of Los Angeles. To achieve this, this proposal aims to increase stakeholder participation and voter turnout by simplifying the VNC Board composition, its administrative functions, and the election procedures.

**BACKGROUND:** Every term of the VNC, the City of Los Angeles’s Department of Neighborhood Empowerment allows Councils to submit updates to their Bylaws, which the Department and the Board of Neighborhood Councils (BONC) may or may not approve. The deadline to submit these proposed amendments for the current term of the VNC is April 1, 2026. The Rules & Elections Committee of the VNC passed these amendment proposals over the course of two public committee meetings, February 4 and February 9, 2026.

**SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:**

VNC-Bylaws-Proposed-Changes-3.17.2026.pdf

VNC-Bylaws-Proposed-Final-3.17.2026.pdf

VNC-Bylaws-Proposal-Explanation-3.17.2026

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



1.

**\_\_\_-26-VNC MOTION TO AMEND THE VNC BYLAWS (STAKEHOLDER MICHELLE WILLIAMS)**

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council Board of Officers approves the submitted changes amending ARTICLE III: BOUNDARIES Section 2: Internal Boundaries of the previously adopted (10/31/25) VNC Bylaws.

**PURPOSE:** Stakeholder petition to have representational area voting for Venice

**SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:**

- 2026 Bylaws Stakeholder petition
- ARTICLE III BOUNDARIES
- Attachment A map
- Attachment B

**AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



## \_\_\_-26-VNC MOTION TO AMEND THE VNC BYLAWS (STAKEHOLDER MICHELLE WILLIAMS)

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council Board of Officers approves the submitted changes amending ARTICLE V: GOVERNING BOARD Section 1: Composition of the previously adopted (10/31/25) VNC Bylaws.

### SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

ARTICLE V GOVERNING BOARD

### AD COM ACTION:

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



## -26-VNC MOTION TO AMEND THE VNC BYLAWS (STAKEHOLDER MICHELLE WILLIAMS)

### *Motion*

The Venice Neighborhood Council Board of Officers approves the submitted changes amending ARTICLE X: ELECTIONS Section 2: Governing Board Structure and Voting of the previously adopted (10/31/25) VNC Bylaws.

### **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:**

ARTICLE X Section 2

### **AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



## -26-VNC MOTION TO AMEND THE VNC BYLAWS (STAKEHOLDER MICHELLE WILLIAMS)

### *Motion*

**MOTION:** Bylaws Amendment to Article VII Committees and their Duties, Section D. Standing Committees.

**PURPOSE:** Addresses and clarifies aspects of Budget and Finance Committee responsibilities that confused some Board members and Stakeholders

**BACKGROUND:** Current Bylaw Language Section D. Budget and Finance Committee: Chaired by Treasurer. Oversees and administers all VNC financial matters, including system of financial accountability as required by the Department and the City of Los Angeles. Vets all Neighborhood Purpose Grants. Reviews all spending applications and identifies which budget line items they will come from.

Amended Bylaw Language (redline version) Article VII D. Budget and Finance Committee: Chaired by Treasurer. Oversees and administers all VNC financial matters **and expenditures**, including system of financial accountability as required by the Department and the City of Los Angeles. Vets all Neighborhood Purpose Grants **for accuracy and compliance**. Reviews all spending ~~applications~~ **requests** and identifies which budget line items they will come from.

### **AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_



# Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294

www.VeniceNC.org



## **\_\_\_-26-VNC MOTION TO AMEND THE VNC BYLAWS (STAKEHOLDER MICHELLE WILLIAMS)**

**MOTION:** The Venice Neighborhood Council Board approves the submitted changes amending Article VII Committees and their Duties, Section D. Standing Committees

### **AD COM ACTION:**

**APPROVED FOR THE AGENDA:** \_\_\_\_\_(YEA) \_\_\_\_\_(NO) \_\_\_\_\_(ABSTAIN)\_\_\_\_\_