

LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



Land Use & Planning Committee (LUPC) STAFF REPORT

November 17, 2025

City Case No: DIR-2025-1995-CDP-MEL-HCA

CEQA Case No: ENV-2025-1997-CE

Related City Case No(s): ADM-2025-1996-ADU-VSO

Address of Project: 635 San Juan Avenue

Applicant/Property Owner: Ben Horning

Applicant's Representative: Steve Kaplan

Standard of Review: Coastal Act, with certified Land Use Plan (LUP) as

guidance (for CDPs) Venice Community Plan

Other applicable State laws: Assembly Bill 2097 Other applicable City laws: ADU ordinance

Mello Act & Housing Crisis Act

Coastal Zone: Single Permit Jurisdiction

City Hearing: Not yet scheduled

Email for City Planner: Luis.C.Lopez@lacity.org

LUPC Staff assigned: Sarah Wauters and Robin Rudisill

I. Detailed Project Description:

The proposed project is a 6-unit multi-family apartment development divided between two buildings. There are 3 affordable housing units, required by the Mello Act, and 3 ADUs (Accessory Dwelling Units). This level of density is secured through ADU law changes rather than density bonus requests. The front building has one 3-bedroom affordable housing unit, one two-story, 3-bedroom market rate ADU, and two 1-bedroom affordable housing units. The rear building is comprised of two 2-bedroom market rate ADUs. There is a roof deck and a roof access structure on the front building for access by the 3-bedroom market-rate ADU unit.

There are three parking spaces on the ground level of the rear building and one to two additional street parking spaces (depending on car size) created by removing



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



the curb cut on San Juan, in order to provide for an open soil parkway and curb, allowing street parking.

The landscape consists of a front yard that is 15' deep, side setbacks of 4', a 15' rear setback, which includes the parking, and a restored front parkway where the prior driveway existed. Large trees and plants will enhance the front yard, and smaller trees will be placed in the parkway which will achieve a visual blending of the site into the existing neighborhood. Native plantings will attract native birds and pollinators to the yard and the neighborhood.

II. Motion:

The Venice Neighborhood Council recommends the City's approval of the project at 635 San Juan Ave, as presented in the plans and renderings included in the Final LUPC Staff Report, including the following modifications:

- 1. Install native plantings that support birds and pollinators and allow residents and neighbors more access to nature.
- 2. Restore an open soil parkway where presently a concrete curb cut and driveway exist.
- 3. Incorporate native parkway, front yard, and middle yard plantings.
- 4. Incorporate LUPC-recommended board and batten siding and lighter color treatments to reflect the architecture of and colors in the neighborhood.
- 5. Enhance aesthetic quality by referencing neighborhood and community architectural details.
- 6. Add a varied roofline defined in the certified Land Use Plan as any roof which has a slope in excess of 2 inches to 12 inches, including but not limited to a sloped, curved, or stepped back roofline similar to the character of the street.

Moved: Mark Seconded: Robin

Vote: 7-0-0 (2 absent, 0 recused)

LUPC suggests that LUPC follow up to ensure that the proper aesthetic changes and the landscaping changes have been adhered to.

LUPC Staff have interacted several times with the applicant and architect team with many suggestions. This is the applicant's response to the motion's requested modifications:



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



1. Install native plantings that support birds and pollinators and allow residents and neighbors more access to nature.

Applicant: As shown on the revised landscape plan, native trees such as (Platanus Racemosa(CA Sycamore) & Cercis Occidentalis(Western Redbud)) have been added to the front yard to assist with screening and to support birds and wildlife to the area. Native planting such as Ceanothus Thyrsiflorus, Mimulus Hybrids, Margarita Bop, and Muhlenbergia Rigens (Deer Grass) among several others have been incorporated into the landscape plan throughout the site, front, middle and parkway.

2. Restore an open soil parkway where presently a concrete curb cut and driveway exist.

Applicant: Confirmed - the parkway will be reconstructed to remove the existing driveway and provide additional landscape parkway all along the front of the property as shown on the revised landscape plan incorporating native species throughout.

3. Incorporate native parkway, front yard, and middle yard plantings.

Applicant: As shown on the revised landscape plan, Native planting such as Ceanothus Thyrsiflorus, Mimulus Hybrids, Margarita Bop, and Muhlenbergia Rigens (Deer Grass) among several others have been incorporated into the plan in the parkway, front yard and middle yard as well as native tree species as well.

4. Incorporate LUPC-recommended board and batten siding and lighter color treatments to reflect the architecture of and colors in the neighborhood.

Applicant: Board and Batten siding has been incorporated into the building elevations, and the color scheme has been revised to yellow and green tone colors as well as board and batten siding in order to reference the local neighborhood and spirit of the Coastal Venice community.

5. Enhance aesthetic quality by referencing neighborhood and community architectural details.



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



Applicant: The massing and color scheme has been revised to yellow and green tone colors as well as board and batten siding in order to reference the local neighborhood and spirit of the Coastal Venice community.

6. Add a varied roofline – defined in the certified Land Use Plan as any roof which has a slope in excess of 2 inches to 12 inches, including but not limited to a sloped, curved, or stepped back roofline – similar to the character of the street.

Applicant: The elevations and massing have been revised to reflect a varied roofline at the front to create a more interesting front elevation and a sloped roof at the front half of the building has been incorporated. ***It is important to note that the project is the same width and height as the two neighboring buildings and has the exact same depth of front yard.

III. Pros & Cons of Project:

Positive aspects of project:

A. Housing Units and Bedroom Count

Approximately ten years ago, prior ownership submitted an application that was ultimately denied. As per the Mello Act, in conjunction with that project application, the planning department had required that 3 affordable housing units be provided. A Mello Act determination survives a denial of the related project and carries forward to any new project proposed.

The developer has now not only delivered on the Mello Act requirement but has also provided the maximum number of bedrooms that could feasibly be included within the site's footprint. The inclusion of a 3-bedroom home within the affordable category along with two 1-bedroom affordable units meets the needs of a neighborhood with a variety of household types. Affordable and market rate housing will exist together, providing a development with social and economic diversity.

This lot has been vacant for close to 10 years, so the prospect of adding 6 new units to the housing stock in Venice is a welcome circumstance, especially considering the specific mix of bedrooms and affordability.



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



B. Architectural Design

The architectural design provides for 6 units on a small lot with only 2 stories so as to remain compatible with other buildings on the block. The use of board and batten siding relates to several homes on the block and in general to traditional siding of smaller wood construction buildings that are typical of Venice. The color of the buildings will also relate to the neighborhood building colors, yellow and green, as agreed to by the owner. Other apartment buildings on the block reflect warm and bright colors such as these.

The height restrictions of the certified Land Use Plan for Oakwood require the building to be no more than 25′ high as measured from the center of the street. The center of the street is at 15′ above sea level. The parapet of the building total height measures 25′ and the roof access stair structure total height measures 30′. The roof access structure is allowed under the certified Land Use Plan. The plans show the surveyors′ height of 45′ to the top of the roof access stair structure, which includes the 15′ above sea level measurement of the street. (45′ – 15′ (above sea level) = 30′).

C. Landscape

The developer has agreed to include native trees and plants within both the front yard and the newly added front parkway through the removal of a driveway and curb cut. As Los Angeles has been losing urban canopy at an alarming rate in the last 3 decades and the Los Angeles basin is a biodiversity hotspot with many endemic species at risk, this is a welcome and positive aspect. For the residents of the development and the neighborhood, the increase in access to nature, in the form of the front yard and parkway tree growth, plant growth and visiting birds and pollinators, is a welcome enhancement to the community's life. Plantings also enhance the space between the two buildings.

D. Summary

The owner had a significant challenge, as the Mello Act, which is a state law, required the owner to replace three units of existing affordable housing. With a very creative and smart design, they have done it, with the help of the ADU laws and the AB 2097 parking regulation. The project is also very unique in that they are proposing a 3-bedroom affordable unit and two 1-bedroom affordable units, which is beneficial to our local families as typically tiny studios are the affordable units. The front building has one 3-bedroom affordable housing unit and one 2-story 3-bedroom market rate unit--that's the ADU--and two, 1-bedroom affordable housing units. The rear building has two 2-bedroom ADUs. So, there are a lot of multiple bedroom units. In addition, it's compatible



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



in scale and character. The developer is working with LUPC, taking our suggestions on landscape and design to heart. It's not a density bonus project. They're not asking for extra height and FAR, etc. This project meets all regulations, using new laws to support the design. It's also a very creative use of the density requirements in the certified Land Use Plan and the ADU rules to get to these six units, which for one lot is very unique.

In the words of Mark Mack, LUPC Chair:

"I have trouble with projects like this but at the same time the density and the positive effect vis a vis what we all are facing in terms of development – it's a mitigated project, in a sense and it's not doing too much damage to the already existing variety of rentable units in the immediate area, left and right. It is really up to the new neighbors to be part of that community and others to welcome the people coming there. I appreciate the density of the project – it's a good solution for this particular site."

Negative aspects of project:

A. Parking

Because of the location of the property, as per AB 2097, no new parking is required. However, the development is adding three spaces on the property and there will be greater space (1-2 spaces, depending on car size) on the street for parking because of the restoration of the former driveway to a normal curb.

B. Mass, Scale and Character

The architecture of the building reflects a modern application of recent trends but perhaps does not as closely reflect the appearance of other buildings in the neighborhood as is desired by some neighbors. Staff worked closely to move the design from a dark-colored columnar and boxy design to a considerably more elegant design than was originally presented. We have achieved colors that are mirrored in other buildings on the block. The size of the building is warranted by the number of units, and the number of units is warranted by the high cost of housing in the neighborhood. This high cost cannot be alleviated without adding more housing supply. There are 25 one story buildings and 23 two story buildings on the block, so the height of this building is in keeping with just under half of the other buildings. As the applicant has noted: "***the building will ultimately be the same width and height, and same setback from the street as the neighboring properties."



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



IV. Neighborhood Outreach/Summary of Community Input:

The applicant sent out a notification to addresses along the entire length of the block of San Juan between 6th and 7th Avenues regarding the project and the September 25, 2025 VNC LUPC meeting concerning its approval. Unfortunately, we were told that some neighbors did not receive the first round of this notice:

Venice Neighbors: You're Invited to LUPC

Please join the Venice Land Use & Planning Committee on **Thursday**, **September 25**, **2025** for a brief presentation of our project at 635 San Juan Ave, Venice ,CA. We value your input and hope you can attend and share feedback.

When/Where: Details and agenda attached or please visit the VNC website prior to the meeting.

Questions? Please attend to ask questions that you may have.

Thank you for engaging with us!



Venice Neighborhood Counci
LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE
PO Box 550, Venice, CA 00294 I www. VeniceNG org
Email: Charlet UP COMVenice NG org



VNC | Land Use and Planning Committee

DRAFT AGENDA

Thursday, September 25, 2025, 6:00 pm Venice Abbot Kinney Memorial Library Community Room 501 S Venice Blvd, CA 90291 (310) 821-1769

Alternatively, you may submit your public comment via email in advance to the Chair at Mark.Mack@VeniceNC.org. Participation is open to all Stakeholders of the Venice Neighborhood Council. All items are available for discussion and possible Motion.

- 1) Call to Order
- 6) Chair Update
- 7) Committee introductions and comments
- 8) Pending city and state legislation

OLD BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

9) 635 San Juan Ave (between 6th & 7th Aves)(DIR-2025-1995-CDP-MEL-HCA; ENV-2025-1997-CE; ADM-2025-1996-ADU-VSO)(case on hold)(Planner: Luis.Lopez@lacity.org): 6-unit multi-residential apartment building—3 affordable units & 3 ADUs (Robin Rudisill & Sarah Wauters, LUPC Staff) https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/search/casenumber/DIR-2025-1995-CDP-MEL-HCA

D



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



During the September 25, 2025 LUPC public hearing, concern was expressed that the project is not compatible with the mass, scale and character of San Juan Ave or the Oakwood Community. Questions were asked about the level of affordability of the covenanted affordable units, which is determined by a state law formula as required by Senate Bill 330 and Senate Bill 8. Concerns were expressed about the landscape recommendations, the lack of an Indigenous consultant review and the minimal parking. Due to concerns expressed by the neighbors, the Admin Committee of the VNC sent the project back to LUPC and a second round of notices was sent out for a LUPC meeting on October 23rd. Notices were also posted on lampposts and other infrastructure in the neighborhood, to ensure that all interested parties could attend the second LUPC hearing.

A second LUPC hearing took place on October 23, 2025. Outreach was performed personally by Ben Horning, the developer, who hand-delivered the notices to all mailboxes he was able to reach without a security key. He also posted the meeting on light poles and telephone poles on the block (as pictured below). Unfortunately, we were told that the neighbors in attendance did not receive this second round of notices.







LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



During the second meeting on October 23rd, more neighbors attended on this occasion. Alley Bean commented that this neighborhood is a historic Oakwood neighborhood whose African American residents are rightfully attached to its unique nature. She commented that she was shocked by the coldness and ugliness of the design. She urged the architect and developer to seek out warmer colors. Pam Anderson, who lives at 615 San Juan Ave., stated that the building was too massive and bulky for the neighborhood and does not fit in among the bungalows. Laddie Williams stated she would like to see the number of units cut down to four from six. She invited the architect to take a look at the Sunset Garden Apartments next door as a model of what a multi-unit building could be. She stated that the building doesn't work because it is dark and ugly. Additionally, there was concern that the new tenants would not integrate into the neighborhood and would be of a transient nature. According to neighbors, there are multiple Airbnb units on the block, creating parking congestion and a feeling of transience.

Subsequent to the second LUPC hearing, the following letter was sent to the VNC board regarding the project.

"Good Evening VNC Board,

We (the residents of San Juan Ave) attended the LUPC meeting regarding 635 San Juan Ave Venice CA 90291.

We the San Juan property owners didn't feel heard and nor our concerns listened to. Instead, it was said to us that the owner was doing everything they could to make this project fit.

It was made clear that LUPC committee wants this project and that is what we as community of San Juan Ave Venice heard and that was insulting to us. We were told that our homes on San Juan were not up to certain members standards. None of our concerns about this massive project were heard.

The LUPC vote was again unanimous to approve this project and forced on the people of San Juan because this is what LUPC wants.

When will LUPC and VNC listen to their stakeholders? We on San Juan Ave love the Sunset Garden apartments that are throughout Venice respecting (not blocking sun rays and towering over) our homes. The neighbors that



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



have lived here on San Juan Ave for generations think this project is too big (mass scale and character). It doesn't fit the street character at all.

Laddie Williams Pam Anderson"

V. Findings re. Entitlements:

- A. Coastal Development Permit (CDP)—Coastal Act & certified Land Use Plan (LUP) are standard of review
 - 1. <u>Parking/Coastal Access—Assembly Bill 2097 must also be considered in the standard of review</u>

Coastal Act Section 30252 states: The location and amount of new development should maintain and enhance public access to the coast by facilitating the provision or extension of transit service...and providing adequate parking facilities or providing substitute means of serving the development with public transportation

The proposed development conforms with the Coastal Act and LUP policies for parking and Coastal Access because it is located in an existing developed area contiguous with similar residential uses and will therefore not have a significant adverse impact on coastal resources — it adds three parking spaces under the rear building even though, because of its location in an AB 2907 entitlement area, due to its proximity to transit no additional parking is required. One to two additional street parking spots (depending on auto size) will be created by the restoration of the existing driveway to a straight curb.

2. Density Explanation Summary

The project proposes 3 new affordable units plus 1 attached ADU and 2 detached ADUs. The subject property is zoned RD1.5, where multiple dwelling units are permitted by-right, subject to density standards. Per LAMC §12.22.A.33 and California Gov. Code §65852.2, ADUs are exempt from density limits. The attached ADU complies with state and local requirements, and the two detached ADUs are permitted ministerially under Gov. Code §65852.2(e)(1)(C). ZA Memo 143 (Dec 2024), which allows concurrent development of primary units and ADUs. The proposal is consistent with zoning, state ADU laws, and City guidance.



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



Certified Venice Land Use Plan Policy I.A.7.d.

d. Oakwood, Milwood, Southeast and North Venice

Use: Duplexes and multi-family structures.

<u>Density: One unit per 1,500-2,000 square feet of lot area.</u> Lots smaller than 4,000 square feet are limited to a maximum density of two units.

Replacement Units/Bonus Density: Lots greater than 4,000 square feet can add extra density at the rate of one unit for each 1,500 square feet of lot area in excess of 4,000 square feet on parcels zoned RD1.5, or one unit for each 2,000 square feet of lot area in excess of 4,000 square feet on parcels zoned RD2, if the unit is a replacement affordable unit reserved for low and very low income persons. (See LUP Policies I.A.9 through I.A.16).

Yards: Yards shall be required in order to accommodate the need for fire safety, open space, permeable land area for on-site percolation of stormwater, and on-site recreation consistent with the existing scale and character of the neighborhood.

Height:

Oakwood, Milwood, and Southeast Venice: Not to exceed 25 feet for buildings with flat roofs; or 30 feet for buildings utilizing a stepped back or varied roofline. The portion that exceeds 25 feet in height shall be set back from the required front yard one foot for every foot in height above 25 feet. Structures located along walk streets are limited to a maximum of 28 feet. (See LUP Policy I.A.1 and LUP Height Exhibits 13-16).

The project complies with the density requirements above as there are only three units, and the total square footage of the lot allows for these three units. The three ADUs are not counted toward density.

The front yard complies with the required depth of 15' feet and employs minimal hardscape, allowing for open space and permeable land area for percolation; the front yard also accommodates recreation onsite such as the enjoyment of nature, as is consistent with other yards on the block. More landscaped space is found in the side yards and between the two buildings, which allows for further plantings and water percolation on site. The building complies with the height requirements laid out in the LUP – see further discussion below.

3. Scenic and Visual Qualities

Coastal Act Section 30251 Scenic and visual qualities states: The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural land forms, to be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas.



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



The project conforms with the Coastal Act and LUP policies for Scenic and Visual Qualities because it is a 2-story structure on a block with other 2-story structures and is thus compatible with the scale of other buildings in the neighborhood and the Venice community. Additionally, the visual enhancement of water-wise and habitat-friendly plantings will benefit the visual qualities of the streetscape along San Juan Ave, for new residents and surrounding neighbors alike.

4. Environmental Justice Policy (if applicable: yesX/no)

The Coastal Commission's Environmental Justice Policy states: The Commission recognizes that the elimination of affordable residential neighborhoods has pushed low-income Californians and communities of color further from the coast, limiting access for communities already facing disparities with respect to coastal access and may contribute to an increase in individuals experiencing homelessness.

Coastal Act Section 30604(f) states: *The Commission shall encourage housing opportunities for persons of low and moderate income,*

Coastal Act Section 30604(g) states: The legislature finds and declares that it is important for the Commission to encourage the protection of existing and the provision of new affordable housing opportunities for persons of low and moderate income in the coastal zone.

Coastal Act Section 30116 states: Sensitive coastal resource areas" means those identifiable and geographically bounded land and water areas within the coastal zone of vital interest and sensitivity. "Sensitive coastal resource areas" include... areas that provide existing coastal housing or recreational opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons. (Emphasis added)

The project conforms with the Coastal Act's Environmental Justice Policies because 6 new units, including 3 affordable housing units, are being created. This addition of housing will create opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons to live in the development and hence gain greater access to the coastal zone.

5. Cumulative Effect

Coastal Act section 30250 (a) states: New residential, commercial, or industrial development, except as otherwise provided in this division, shall be located within, contiguous with, or in close proximity to, existing developed areas able to accommodate it or, where such areas are not able to accommodate it, in other areas with adequate public services and where it will not have significant adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources...

The project is not likely to create an adverse cumulative effect or an adverse precedent for other similar projects because it is located within a previously developed area with similar housing and has access to sufficient public transportation services such that it will not have significant adverse effects on the public's access to coastal resources.

6. Protection of Special Coastal Communities

Coastal Act section 30253(e) states: *New development shall do all of the following...(e) Where appropriate, protect special communities and neighborhoods that, because of their unique characteristics, are popular visitor destination points for recreational uses.*



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



LUP Policy Preservation of Venice as a Special Coastal Community states:

Preservation of Venice as a Special Coastal Community **Policy I. E. 1. General.** Venice's unique social and architectural diversity should be protected as a Special Coastal Community pursuant to Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act of 1976.

Policy I. E. 2. Scale. New development within the Venice Coastal Zone shall respect the scale and character of community development. Buildings which are of a scale compatible with the community (with respect to bulk,

height, buffer and setback) shall be encouraged. All new development and renovations should respect the scale, massing, and landscape of existing residential neighborhoods. Lot consolidations shall be restricted to protect

Policy I. E. 3. Architecture. Varied styles of architecture are encouraged with building facades which incorporate varied planes and textures while maintaining the neighborhood scale and massing.

The proposed project will protect the Special Coastal Community status of Venice because it respects the scale, massing and landscape of the neighborhood's buildings. The building is the same height as most neighboring buildings, utilizes some board and batten wood siding and will be light in color, relating to the siding and colors of other buildings on the block. The architecture incorporates varied planes and textures (both stucco and board and batten). The landscaping plan will both respect the existing landscaping of the block and enhance it by adding native trees and native plantings that will screen the building from view as they mature. The roof access stair is only 8 ft off the roof deck, and only 5' taller than the parapet which comprises the building's total height, and it is well setback from the front of the building and will be minimally visible from the street and public sidewalk. In addition, the 3 covenanted affordable units and 3 ADUs will support Venice's social diversity, as required by Policy I.E.1., as the affordable and ADU units will be lower cost than other types of units and thus provide housing options for lower-income residents, supporting social and economic diversity.

7. Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area

Section 30240 of the Coastal Act states in part: (a) Environmentally sensitive habitat areas shall be protected against any significant disruption of habitat values and only uses dependent on those resources shall be allowed within those areas. (b) Development in areas adjacent to environmentally sensitive habitat areas and parks and recreation areas shall be sited and designed to prevent impacts which would significantly degrade those areas and shall be compatible with the continuance of those habitat and recreation areas.

While the site is located within a fully developed residential community, much of Venice has become an environmentally sensitive habitat area because of the citywide degradation of the urban canopy. This impacts birds, particularly coastal and



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



migratory birds. This project sensitively addresses this issue by planting native trees and plants to which our native and migratory bird and pollinator populations have evolved over eons. Many endangered migratory species lack the nourishment required over their journey and this landscape will offer such food.

B. Mello Act (MEL)

The Mello Act is a statewide law that requires local governments to comply with provisions designed to protect, preserve and increase the supply of affordable housing in California's coastal zone. Generally, converted or demolished existing affordable units must be replaced one-for-one.

The Housing and Community Investment Department made a Mello Act determination in 2015, which called for 3 affordable housing units at this site:





Date: January 13, 2015

To: Kevin Jones, City Planner City Planning Department

Robert Manford, Environmental Affairs Officer
Los Angeles Housing and Community Investment Department

Subject: Mello Act Determination for 635 East San Juan Avenue, Venice, CA 90291

Based on information provided by the owner, 187 Monterey Holding LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, the Los Angeles Housing and Community Investment Department (HCIDLA) has determined that three (3) affordable units exist at 635 East San Juan Avenue, Venice, CA 90291.

The property consists of a triplex building comprised of a three (3) bedroom and two two (2) bedrooms. Per the statement provided by the owner, they are proposing to demolish the triplex and construct a two (2) unit condominum. Baystone Holding, LLC acquired the property on February 13, 2014 and conveyed the deed to 187 Monterey Holding LLC on May 22, 2014. As of January 12, 2015, a building permit has not been filed. Baystone Holding, LLC is the managing member of 187 Monterey Holding LLC.

Section 4.4.3 of the Interim Administrative Procedures for Complying with the Mello Act requires that HCIDLA collect monthly housing cost data for at least the previous three years. The owner's Mello application statement was received by HCIDLA on December 3, 2014. HCIDLA must collect data from: December, 2011 through December, 2014.

Per the owner, all units are currently vacant and have been since June 2014. Irving Campbell, a previous owner, had rented the three (3) units per the lease agreements obtained by 187 Monterey Holding LLC. The lease for Unit 635 #1 began on January 2010 and had a housing cost that averaged \$2,500 per month for approximately three (3) years. The lease for Unit 635 #2 began on February 2010 and had a housing cost that averaged \$2,200 per month for approximately three (3) years. The lease for Unit 635 #3 began on March 2010 and had a housing cost that averaged \$2,350 per month for approximately three (3) years. All leases are assumed to have terminated effective December 31, 2012

Although a closing utility bill for November 2014 was provided, HCIDLA could not determine the occupancy and/or vacancy status during the period from January 2013 to December 2014. The three (3) units are found to be affordable due to insufficient documentation.

cc: Los Angeles Housing and Community Investment Department File 187 Monterey Holding LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, Owner Richard A. Rothschild, Western Center on Law and Poverty, Inc. Susanne Browne, Legal Aid Foundation of L.A. HCIDLA Rent Stabilization Ordinance Unit

RM:MAC:RB:rl



LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



The project proposed by the owner at that time was denied as it did not meet both the Mello Act and Coastal Act requirements.

The project as currently planned will comply with the Mello Act as it replaces the affordable housing units that existed on the lot approximately 10 years ago.

C. Housing Crisis Act (HCA)

The HCA (SB 330/SB8) requires that if even one RSO unit is demolished, all new units in the project have "protected " RSO status. Under the HCA, in the absence of occupant income documentation (rents are not used for HCA), the prior existing units would be considered protected lower income housing. In this case, the 3 existing affordable units are being replaced in the new project. This RSO status also bars any rental of any of the units as Airbnb or short term rentals.

Pursuant to SB 8, when incomes of existing or former tenants are unknown, the required percentage of affordability is determined by the percentage of extremely low, very low, and low income rents in the jurisdiction as shown in the HUD Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) database. At present, the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) database shows 31% extremely low income, 18% very low income and 20% low income for Transit Oriented Communities (TOC) projects and 49% very low income and 20% low income for Density Bonus projects. In the absence of specific entitlements, the affordability will default to 49% very low income and 20% low income. The remaining 31% of the units are presumed above-low income. The levels of affordability will be covenanted in the property's deed.

VI. History of Lot Ownership:

In 2014, the land was purchased by Monterey Holdings, LLC and it appears that a construction firm by the name of Rockport was engaged to perform construction services. Rockport may have been the entity that stripped the previously existing three units down to the studs. Rockport never owned the site or progressed past the partial demolition. Monterey Holdings, LLC did not move forward with the project at that time as the project they proposed was denied. Monterey Holdings, LLC went bankrupt, and the property was lost in a foreclosure proceeding.

The bank, Goldman Sachs, took possession of the property. They then sold the property to an individual, Oleg Spektorov, in December 2020. In March 2021, Spektorov and Ben Horning, the applicant, came to an agreement for a future sale of



Venice Neighborhood Council LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org





the property. 635 San Juan LLC (Oleg Spectorov, Principal) is the present owner, and the present owner-in-escrow is San Juan Venice LLC (principal is Ben Horning). Ben Horning has handled all development activities for the subject application.





Existing:






LOT # 39 "SITE"





Existing Site Plan: I.







Renderings of proposed project (with 2 versions for each view--full landscape/seeing II. through landscape):

Significantly changed from original drawings (see III at page 22):

























Original Rendering of proposed project, for comparison III.






Venice Neighborhood Council LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



IV. Site Plan:






Venice Neighborhood Council LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



V. **Plot Plan:**









VI. **Floor Plans:**

Front Building, First Floor




Front Building, Second Floor








Rear Building, First Floor





Page 26 of 31







VII. **Elevations:**

A. East elevation:

Front Building



Rear Building








B. West elevation:

Front Building



Rear Building







C. South elevation:



Rear Building








D. North elevation:



Rear Building:





Venice Neighborhood Council LAND USE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 | www.VeniceNC.org Email: Chair-LUPC@VeniceNC.org



VIII. **Landscape Plan:**

The renderings are determinative – especially tree placings – Western Redbud to be replaced with larger tree, such as Platanus Racemosa. Parkway trees to be city approved trees.

