



Unpermitted Live Music or Karaoke:

Land Use & Planning Committee (LUPC) Staff Report -- DRAFT SEPTEMBER 26th, 2025

City Case No:	DIR-2025-3611-CDP				
CEQUA Case No:	ENV-2025-3612-CE				
Project Address:	2015-2017-2025 Pacific Avenue, Venice, CA 90291				
Coastal Zone:	Dual				
Known As:	"Ospi"_				
Applicant/ Owner:	Jackson Kalb				
Applicant's Representa					
City Planner:	Sienna Kuo				
LUPC STAFF:	David Feige				
Hearing Scheduled: No	0				
	Detailed Project Description:				
Stand-alone patio with seating for Al Fresco Dining use.					
Size of Proposed Al Fresco Area: 655 Sq. Feet Current Interior Seats: 177 Proposed Additional Al Fresco Seats: 41 Capacity Increase: 23%					
Does Requested Permit R	educe Street Parking? X YES NO (If yes) by how many parking spaces? 4				
	s occupy 4 spaces that were previously used as street parking (see attached images) s 104 spaces in a nearby garage to satisfy any parking requirement.				
Has Owner Read the Al-Fit Has Owner Certified That	resco Ordinance and Agreed to Abide by It? They are Currently in Compliance with the Ordinance? AXX YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO				
Affected Area and Community Response:					
Is There Current Oppositi Have There Been Previou	Homes/Apartments within 500 feet of proposed Al Fresco Space: 108 on by Neighbors to Issuance of Al Fresco Permit? S Complaints About Al Fresco Space to City or to Owners? Output The second of th				
Nature of Complaints: (ch	eck all that Apply) Noise: Unpermitted Speakers or Screens: Maintenance of Adjacent Areas:				

Other:



P. O. Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 www.VeniceNC.org



Evaluator Report:

Background and Area Assessment:

Located at 2025 Pacific Avenue, "Ospi" is a vibrant, welcoming Southern Italian restaurant serving hand-made pastas, pizza and a full line of Alcoholic Beverages. It consists of a large indoor space (seating 177 patrons) including a private room on a mezzanine area. Ospi has applied to make it's festive, sheltered Al Fresco Space, located on the sidewalk and street (adjacent to the North Venice Blvd side of the restaurant) permanent. The Al Fresco space which has been operating under a temporary permit, seats 41 people representing a capacity increase over the interior seating of a very reasonable 23 percent.

The space itself is elegant and pleasing and appears to conform to LADBS standards. While no additional bathrooms are being added, it does not appear that they would be required and there is no reason to believe that the additional patron load would lead to any of the public nuisances that have been associated with larger capacity expansions.

While the area of North Venice on which the Al Fresco space is located almost directly across the street from two buildings zoned residential, the rest of the block is designated as "Neighborhood Office Commercial." However, on the North of the applicant's space, is 20th place—which is an alley. Thus for purposes of the Al Fresco Ordinance, Ospi "abuts" a residential neighborhood and thus is subject to the operating hours set forth in the Ordinance.

In terms of load/capacity, while application seeks to add a decent number of seats, it is important to view this in the context of the overall size of the interior space. That context suggests that the additional impact from the increased capacity and load will be fairly small.

Compliance with the Ordinance and "Neighborliness"

By every available metric, the applicant and "Ospi" appear to be excellent neighbors. There are no recorded complaints, no neighbors have reached out with concerns, it does not appear to be an LAPD hotspot, nor does it appear from the design and structure (especially since the AL Fresco area is on the South side of the Street rather than the abutting North Side) that there are noise concerns. The applicant keeps the space clean and orderly, there is no loitering on the sidewalk in front of the space, and the applicant abides by the requirements that patrons be seated when served. *Most significantly as discussed below, when applicants were made aware of the prohibition on speakers, they promptly removed and capped the outdoor speakers that had been present.* Photographic proof the removal of those speakers is attached to this report.

In addition, the Applicant has filled out and signed the "Community Relations Form" and listed no complaints. The Applicant has also filled out and signed the "Al Fresco Dining Self-Certification Form" averring that they have read the ordinance, and are aware of its specific requirements. They have further certified that they ARE currently in compliance with the ordinance and intend to abide by it in the future.

Finally, the applicant had properly displayed the permit application notice in the window in order to allow community input. A picture of the notice is also attached to this report.

LUPC staff's site visit corroborates these statements, and thus we find that the applicant is currently: $\underline{\mathbf{ln}}$ compliance with the ordinance.



P. O. Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 www.VeniceNC.org



Compliance with Previously Imposed Planning Conditions:

The current conditions imposed were initially drafted for the previous tenant which was the Canal Club. That Determination Letter(ZA-2018-828-CUB-CUX) dated September 21st, 2018 can be found here: https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/document/MTk4OTY40/03b6cd7a-61f3-4d27-8bc5-9bb6e20119bc/pdd

A review of the conditions imposed in that CUB are consistent with many others in that they require graffiti to be removed, noise to be limited, ban live music and set forth proper operating hours for the indoor space. Site visits confirm that all those conditions are being adhered to. And thus it appears that the applicant has acted in good faith in complying with previously imposed conditions.

Parking impact:

While the Al Fresco space does now occupy what used to be four street parking spaces, there is a large parking structure across the street just 150 feet west of that space which, according to the applicants has more than sufficient capacity. In addition, the entrance to the large beach lot is at the end of the block. While there has been a broad parking study commissioned by the council to evaluate the parking impacts of the Al Fresco transition, this study is not yet complete. As a consequence, it is impossible to adequately assess or contextualize the larger parking impacts of the Al Fresco transition, but it is clear that in the instant case, under the prior rules, not only would alternatives have been required but additional parking for the additional service floor area would have also been required. It is the view of the committee that such an overall assessment is critical to the evaluation of any Al Fresco application, and the failure to have completed that study is a significant impediment to a full and proper assessment of any application.

Bathroom Sufficiency:

As noted above, the permanent Al Fresco space sought by the applicant increases overall capacity by less than 25%. Looking at the numbers it appears that no additional bathrooms are required and thus there is no reason to believe that the additional patron load would lead to any of the public nuisances that have been associated with larger capacity expansions.

Aesthetic or Code Concerns:

Though there may be requirements from other city agencies, based on the submitted plans and site visits, the Al Fresco Space at Ospi is welcoming, modest, and quiet. With the absence of the outdoor speakers, there is little concern for noise disturbances, and the nature of the restaurant itself discourages loitering and other problematic behavior.

Service Hours and Noise Concerns:

The applicant clearly understands the service hour requirements, and that because this abuts a residential neighborhood it is subject to the operating hours set forth in the Al Fresco Ordinance to wit: The space may not be used after 10:30 Sunday through Thursday or after 11PM on Friday and Saturday nights. The applicant also understands that patrons must be seated to be served, and the layout and design of the space itself facilitates compliance with that condition.



P. O. Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 www.VeniceNC.org



Conclusion:

Through its good faith efforts at compliance, the lack of neighborhood opposition, it's location, design and layout, Ospi presents an excellent case for how Al Fresco dining can enhance a community without creating burdens for those who live nearby. Therefore:

Recommendation:

APPROVE WITH CONDITIONS:

Applicable Law:

Coastal Act, with certified Land Use Plan (LUP) as guidance Venice Community Plan

Permanent Al Fresco Dining Ordinance: mailto:https://cityclerk.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2020/20-1074-S4 ord 188073 1-31-24.pdf

Proposed Motion:

The Venice Neighborhood Council (VNC) LUPC recommends that the City APPROVE of the project at 2025 Pacific Ave, as proposed, with the following additional condition:

- That the CDP for Al Fresco dining make clear that as applicant abuts a residential neighborhood, it
 is subject to the operating hours set forth in the Al Fresco Laws, and thus is limited to 11am to
 10:30pm Sunday through Thursday and 11am to 11pm on Friday and Saturday nights.
- 2. The CDP for Al Fresco Dining shall be subject to the City's Coastal Access study and any mitigation measures adopted to address the impacts of the loss of parking related to coastal access.

	Moved by:		Secon	ded by:	
YES		NO:	VOTE:	ABSTAIN:	





Attached Documents and Community Feedback:

Signed Self Certification of Compliance LUPC Community Relations Form Notice of Pending Permit Parking Photographs: Then and Now: CDP Coastal Act, Standard of Review and Parking Study Information **Affected Area Map** Floor Plan





Compliance: Self Certification:

LAND USE PLANNING COMMITTEE AL FRESCO DINING PERMIT SELF-CERTIFICATION

Instructions: Owner/Applicant should fill out this form, only after reviewing the Al Fresco Ordinance or the Fact Sheet ${\it distributed by the LUPC which lists the basic requirements of the ordinance. \ Please initial each box and sign the}$ certification at the bottom. The form need not be notarized. Please return the signed and dated form to the assigned evaluator via USPS or E-mail for inclusion in the staff report to the board. Please note that a failure to execute this selfcertification will be a factor to be considered in the evaluator's recommendations.

1.	am the owner and applicant, and am seeking a permanent Al Fresco				
	Dining Permit for my business, known as Ospi	_ and located at			
	2025 Pacific Ave	in Venice, CA.			
2.	I have personally reviewed Ordinance 188073, enacted by the City Council on December familiar with the requirements therein—my initials in the boxes below indicate my fam agreement that I am aware of, abiding by, and will continue to abide by each the follow	iliarity with, and			
	The Operational Requirements contained in LAMC Section 12.21 A:				
JK	Section 24(d)(ii) of the Ordinance prohibits background music and speakers in outdoor	areas.			
JK	Section 24(d)(iii) of the Ordinance prohibits television monitors and similar audio-visua areas	I devices in outdoor			
JK	Section 24(d)(iv) of the Ordinance prohibits Live music, and live entertainment includin disc jockeys, karaoke, dancing, pool tables, billiard tables, and adult entertainment use	-			
JK	Section 24(d(v) mandates that An Outdoor Dining <i>Area shall operate no later than 10</i> :: <i>through Thursdays and no later than 11:00 p.m. on Fridays and Saturdays</i> , if abutting a residential zone, not including the RAS zone. If the Outdoor Dining Area is not abuttin from a residential zone, then the Outdoor Dining Area is subject to any applicable hour imposed by law, discretionary action, or previously issued permit.	or across an alley from ng or across an alley			
JK	Section 24(d)(vi) requires that notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, the nois Dining Area shall not exceed the standards set forth in the Noise Ordinance (Ordinance				
JK	Section 24(d)(vii) requires that Outdoor Dining Areas shall be cleaned nightly and adjoint shall be kept free of debris, litter, and graffiti.	ning sidewalk areas			
JK	Section 24(d)(viii) requires that A City-issued identification be posted and clearly visible area is subject to the standards of the Al Fresco Ordinance. The identification shall incl MyLA311, A hotline phone number and contact information for LADBS, and a telephon restaurant operator or manager for complaints or concerns regarding the operation of Area.	ude the City's e number of the			
JK	Section 24(d)(ix) Requires that a sign reminding guests to be respectful of surrounding posted in the Outdoor Dining Area.	neighbors shall be			
JK	Section $24(d)(3)(i)(a)$ -(c) provide that All patrons shall must be seated, all alcohol shall be served at tables by employees, and that only fixed or portable bars not used as a point in an Outdoor Dining Area.				







Finally, I understand that any violation of LAMC Section 12.21 A.24 may be prosecuted criminally as a misdemeanor, and that failure to comply with those or any other of the regulations and standards set forth in the Ordinance shall constitute a violation of the Al Fresco Ordinance and may result in a citation, Administrative Citation, Orders to Comply and/or other available enforcement mechanisms.

By signing below, I Affirm That:

- -- I have reviewed the AI Fresco Ordinance in connection with my application for a permanent AI Fresco Permit.
- --That I, the applicant, am currently in compliance with all the requirements of the Al Fresco Ordinance.
- --That I, the applicant, will continue, in the future, to comply with all of the requirements of the AI Fresco Ordinance as well as any specific conditions related to the granting of the Al Fresco Permit for which I am currently applying.

SIGNED ON THIS TENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER

Signature of Applicant





Community Relations Form:

LAND USE PLANNING COMMITTEE COMMUNITY RELATIONS SURVEY


Instructions: Owner/Applicant should fill out this form, and sign the certification at the bottom. The form need not be notarized. Please return the signed and dated form to the assigned evaluator via USPS or E-mail for inclusion in the staff report to the board. Please note that a failure to execute this survey will be a factor to be considered in

the eva	luator's recommendations.			
1.	Jackson Kalbam the owner and applicant, and am seeking a perma	nent Al Fresco		
	Dining Permit for my business, known as Ospi	and located at		
	2025 PAcific Ave	_ in Venice, CA.		
2	We (check one)HAVE or XHAVE NOT received complaints from the co			
2.	concerning the noise, crowds, or other issues concerning the operation of our temporar			
		•		
	E NOT " is checked, please simply sign and date the form below. If HAVE is checked please is below.	fill out the		
3.	The complaints we received concerned (check all that apply):			
	Noise Hours of Operation Conduct of Patrons Cleanliness Oth	er		
4.	Approximate number of complaints (count each person and date as a separate complain	t)		
	Approximate dates of complaints received:			
5.	Please describe below any actions taken as a result of these complaints and the resolution free to use additional space if necessary:	on, if any (feel		
	By signing below, I Affirm that the above statements are true:			
SIGNED ON THIS TENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 20225				
	Signature of Applicant			





Posted Notice of Pending Permit Application:







Photographs of Speaker Removal:















Parking Photographs: Then and Now:

Streetscape in 2017:



Streetscape in 2025:





P. O. Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 www.VeniceNC.org



CDP Coastal Act, Standard of Review and Parking Study Information:

Coastal Development Permit (CDP)—Coastal Act & certified Land Use Plan (LUP) are standard of review

<u>Parking/Coastal Access—Assembly Bill 2097 must also be considered in the standard of review</u>
Coastal Act Section 30252 states: *The location and amount of new development should maintain and enhance public access to the coast by:*

- 1. facilitating the provision or extension of transit service
- 2. providing commercial facilities within or adjoining residential development or in other areas that will minimize the use of coastal access roads
- 3. providing non-automobile circulation within the development
- 4. providing adequate parking facilities or providing substitute means of serving the development with public transportation

Coastal Access is a Coastal Resource that must be protected under the Coastal Act. In order to assure conformance of the project with the Coastal Act and certified LUP Coastal Access provisions, no CDP for Al Fresco Dining shall be issued until the City's Coastal Access study to assess the impacts of the loss of parking related to the Al Fresco program on public coastal access is completed and mitigation measures to address impacts to public coastal access are determined.

Information about the City's Coastal Access study:

City Planning and LADOT Launch a New Study to Assess Coastal Access in Venice

Last month, Los Angeles City Planning launched a collaboration with the Los **Angeles Department of Transportation** (LADOT) and Walker Consultants to prepare a study to assess public access to the Venice Coastal Zone area, including parking and other mobility options. The analysis will include the observation of outdoor dining areas under the Al Fresco program and their impacts to public coastal access. This study will assist restaurants with temporary Al Fresco authorizations obtain a Coastal Development Permit (CDP) in order to transition into the permanent Al Fresco program by providing a list of mitigation measures to address impacts to public coastal access. The study will be completed in 2026 and is available to track through Council File No. Council File 20-1074-S5. This effort is an example of how City Planning supports small businesses as part of Mayor Karen Bass' Executive Directive No. 4 - Identifying Barriers to Small Business Creation, Development and Growth.

Information about the need for the Coastal Access study:

https://cityclerk.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2020/20-1074-S5 rpt plan 05-28-25.pdf





Affected Area Map:







