## PROPOSAL

Composition: remains at 21 members

SEVEN (7) "Officers" Elected by Live Work Own (LWO) Stakeholders: President, Vice President, Secretary Treasurer, LUPC Chair, Outreach Chair and Communications Chair Only LWO Stakeholders may run for these "at-large"officer positions

TEN (10) Geographic/Area/ Zone Members elected from 6 Districts. Those eligible to run for these positions must live, own or work in their respective district. Elected by LWO in the respective district. 2 representatives from each of the 4 districts that represent approx. $20 \%$ of the population, with voters in Oxford Triangle and Peninsula each having one representative to vote for because despite their common postal code they are not contiguous.

THREE (3) At-large Community representatives: only LWO eligible are to run and vote for each of these positions.

ONE (1) Community Interest: ALL stakeholders may run for and vote for this position

MAP: Using original map submitted by R \& S

1. Areas $1 \& 2$ (East Venice) combined $=2$ representatives
2. Areas $3 \& 4$ (Oakwood) combined $=2$ representatives
3. Areas 5 and 8 (Milwood, Preident's Row, Silver Triangle) with Canal's west of Ocean and North of Washington Blvd moved to area 9 (Ocean Front) $=2$ representatives
4. Areas 7 and 9 (Windward, Ocean Front plus Canal area west of Ocean and North of Washington) = 2 representatives
5. Area 6 (Oxford Triangle) = 1 representative *
6. Area 10 ( Peninsula) = 1 representative *
*Alternative: Areas 6 and 10 on original map are combined and have 2 representatives so there are only 5 areas.

With 6 areas LWO with the exception of Oxford Triangle and Peninsula will vote for 7 officers, 2 area reps , 3 at large community officers and 1 community interest or 13 positions. Oxford Triangle and Peninsula if not combied will vote for 12 positions.

5 areas $=$ LWO vote for 12 positions

Rationale:

1. Addresses concerns raised that having 10 areas was too much by increasing size of areas and linking contiguous neighborhoods, ensuring broader representation throughout Venice.
2. Addresses issue raised that Oakwood on the original map appeared to be "split".
3. Ensures that no one group such as At-large would have a majority position on the Council (this is a city charter requirement-see bylaws)
4. Eligibility for some or all of the at-large positions could be further restricted to specific representation such as renter's, business etc. LWO Candidate's for those positions would need proof of eligibility.
5. Meets City Charter requirement that at least one position must be available for All Stakeholders (LWO and Community Interest) to both run for and vote for.
