Helen Fallon: PROPOSAL Board Composition

Composition: remains at 21 members

SEVEN (7) "Officers" Elected by Live Work Own (LWO) Stakeholders: President, Vice President, Secretary Treasurer, LUPC Chair, Outreach Chair and Communications Chair Only LWO Stakeholders may run for these "at-large" officer positions

TEN (10) Geographic/Area/ Zone Members elected from 6 Districts. Those eligible to run for these positions must live, own or work in their respective district. Elected by LWO in the respective district. 2 representatives from each of the 4 districts that represent approx. 20% of the population, with voters in Oxford Triangle and Peninsula each having one representative to vote for because despite their common postal code they are not contiguous.

THREE (3) At-large Community representatives: only LWO eligible are to run and vote for each of these positions.

ONE (1) Community Interest: ALL stakeholders may run for and vote for this position

MAP: Using original map submitted by R & S

- 1. Areas 1 & 2 (East Venice) combined = 2 representatives
- 2. Areas 3 & 4 (Oakwood) combined = 2 representatives
- 3. Areas 5 and 8 (Milwood, Preident's Row, Silver Triangle) with Canal's west of Ocean and North of Washington Blvd moved to area 9 (Ocean Front) = 2 representatives
- 4. Areas 7 and 9 (Windward, Ocean Front plus Canal area west of Ocean and North of Washington) = 2 representatives
- Area 6 (Oxford Triangle) = 1 representative *
- 6. Area 10 (Peninsula) = 1 representative *

*Alternative: Areas 6 and 10 on original map are combined and have 2 representatives so there are only 5 areas.

With 6 areas LWO with the exception of Oxford Triangle and Peninsula will vote for 7 officers, 2 area reps , 3 at large community officers and 1 community interest or 13 positions. Oxford Triangle and Peninsula if not combied will vote for 12 positions.

5 areas = LWO vote for 12 positions

Rationale:

- 1. Addresses concerns raised that having 10 areas was too much by increasing size of areas and linking contiguous neighborhoods, ensuring broader representation throughout Venice.
- 2. Addresses issue raised that Oakwood on the original map appeared to be "split".
- 3. Ensures that no one group such as At-large would have a majority position on the Council (this is a city charter requirement-see bylaws)
- 4. Eligibility for some or all of the at-large positions could be further restricted to specific representation such as renter's, business etc. LWO Candidate's for those positions would need proof of eligibility.
- 5. Meets City Charter requirement that at least one position must be available for All Stakeholders (LWO and Community Interest) to both run for and vote for.

